



# Scheduled various Maps regarding Beta-Sets and Allied Groups

Priyadarshan<sup>1</sup>, Amarjyot<sup>2</sup>

Assistant Professor, Ch. Devilal University, Sirsa (Haryana)<sup>1,2</sup>  
Email: pdbeniwal40@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, amar94jyoti@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract-** The concept of group of functions, say  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$  preserving  $\beta$ -closed sets containing homeomorphism group  $h(X, \tau)$  was studied by Arora, Tahiliani and Maki. In continuation to that, we study some new isomorphisms, mappings, subgroups and their properties.

**Keywords:**  $\alpha$ -open,  $\beta$ -open and  $\beta$ -irresolute mappings.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we consider spaces on which no separation axiom are assumed unless explicitly stated. The topology of a space (By space we always mean a topological space) is denoted by  $\tau$  and  $(X, \tau)$  will be replaced by  $X$  if there is no chance of confusion. For  $A \subseteq X$ , the closure and interior of  $A$  in  $X$  are denoted by  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and  $\text{Int}(A)$  respectively. Let  $A$  be a subset of the space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A$  is said to be  $\beta$ -open [1] if  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ . Its complement is  $\beta$ -closed. The family of all  $\beta$ -open sets containing  $A$  is denoted by  $\beta\text{O}(A)$  and all  $\beta$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is denoted by  $\beta\text{C}(A)$ .  $A$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -open [6] if  $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$  and its complement is  $\alpha$ -closed. The union of all  $\beta$ -open sets contained in  $A$  is called  $\beta$ -interior of  $A$ , denoted by  $\beta\text{Int}(A)$  [2].

A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\beta$ -irresolute [4] if the inverse image of every  $\beta$ -open set in  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $X$ . It is called  $\beta\text{c}$ -homeomorphism [5] if  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute bisection and  $f^{-1}$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

## 2. SUBGROUPS OF $\text{BCH}(X; \tau)$

For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  we have  $h(X; \tau) = \{f \mid f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau) \text{ is a homeomorphism}\}$  [5] and  $\beta\text{ch}(X; \tau) = \{f \mid f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau) \text{ is a } \beta\text{c-homeomorphism}\}$  [5].

In this section, we investigate some structures of  $\beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  for a subspace  $(H, \tau|_H)$  of  $(X, \tau)$  using two subgroups of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ , say  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  and  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  below.

**Definition 2.1.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and subset  $H$  of  $X$ , we define the following families of maps:

- (i).  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{a \mid a \in \beta\text{ch}(X; \tau) \text{ and } a(X \setminus H) = X \setminus H\}$ .
- (ii).  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{a \mid a \in \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \text{ and } a(x) = x \text{ for every } x \in X \setminus H\}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $H$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then

- (i) The family  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  forms a subgroup of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ .
- (ii) The family  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  forms a subgroup of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  and hence  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  forms a subgroup of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** (i). It is shown obviously that  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  is a non-empty subset of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ , because  $1_X \in \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . Moreover, we have that  $\omega_X(a, b^{-1}) = b^{-1} \circ a \in \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  for any elements  $a, b \in \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ , where  $\omega_X = \omega(\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \times \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau))$  as  $\omega$  is the binary operation of the group  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ . Evidently, the identity map  $1_X$  is the identity element of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ .

(ii). It is shown that  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  is a non-empty subset of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  because  $1_X \in \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . We have that  $\omega_X(a, b^{-1}) = b^{-1} \circ a \in \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  for any elements  $a, b \in \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ , where  $\omega_X, 0 = \omega_X \mid (\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \times \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau))$  ( $\omega_X$  is the binary



operation of the group  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . Thus  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  is a subgroup of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  and the identity map  $1_X$  is the identity element of  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . By using (i),  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  forms a subgroup of  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ . Let  $H$  and  $K$  be the subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. For a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying a property  $K=f(H)$ , we define the following map  $r_{H,K}(f): H \rightarrow K$  by  $r_{H,K}(f)(x)=f(x)$  for every  $x \in H$ . Then, we have that  $j_K \circ r_{H,K}(f) = f|_H: H \rightarrow Y$ , where  $j_K: K \rightarrow Y$  be an inclusion defined by  $j_K(y)=y$  for every  $y \in K$  and  $f|_H: H \rightarrow Y$  is a restriction of  $f$  to  $H$  defined by  $(f|_H)(x)=f(x)$  for every  $x \in H$ . Especially, we consider the following case that  $X=Y$ ,  $H=K \subseteq X$  and  $a(H)=H$ ,  $b(H)=H$  for any maps  $a, b: X \rightarrow X$ . Thus  $r_{H,H}(boa) = r_{H,H}(b) \circ r_{H,H}(a)$  holds. Moreover, if a map  $a: X \rightarrow X$  is a bisection such that  $a(H)=H$ , then  $r_{H,H}: H \rightarrow H$  is bijective and  $r_{H,H}(a^{-1}) = (r_{H,H}(a))^{-1}$ .

We recall well known properties on  $\beta$ -open sets of subspace topological spaces.

**Theorem 2.3.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and subsets  $H$  and  $U$  of  $X$  and  $A \subseteq H, V \subseteq H$  and  $B \subseteq H$ , the following properties hold:

(i). Arbitrary union of  $\beta$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The intersection of an open set of  $(X, \tau)$  and a  $\beta$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(ii). (a). If  $A$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $A \subseteq H$ , then  $A$  is  $\beta$ -open in a subspace  $(H, \tau|_H)$ .

(b). If  $H \subseteq X$  is open or  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  and a subset  $U \subseteq X$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $H \cap U$  is  $\beta$ -open in a subspace  $(H, \tau|_H)$ .

(iii). Let  $V \subseteq H \subseteq X$ .

(a). If  $H$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\text{Int}_H(V) \subseteq \beta\text{Int}(V)$  holds.

(b). If  $H$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $V$  is  $\beta$ -open in a subspace  $(H, \tau|_H)$  then  $V$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Let  $B \bullet H \bullet X$ . If  $H$  is  $\beta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is  $\beta$ -closed in a subspace  $(H, \tau|_H)$ , then  $B$  is  $\beta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(a). Assume that  $H$  is a open subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $\beta O(X, \tau) \bullet H \bullet \beta O(H, \tau|_H)$  holds, where  $\beta O(X, \tau) \bullet H = \{W \bullet H \bullet W \bullet \beta O(X, \tau)\}$ .

(b). Assume that  $H$  is a  $\beta$ -open subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $\beta O(H, \tau|_H) \bullet \beta O(X, \tau) \bullet H$  holds.

(c). Assume that  $H$  is a  $\beta$ -open subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $\beta O(H, \tau|_H) = \beta O(X, \tau) \bullet H$  holds.

**Proof.** (i). Clear from Remark 1.1 of [1] and Theorem 2.7 of [3].

(ii). (a). Clear. (ii-2). Its Lemma 2.5 of [1].

(iii). (a). Let  $x \bullet \text{Int}_H(V)$ . There exists a subset  $W(x) \in \tau$  such that  $W(x) \cap H \subseteq V$ . By (i),  $W(x) \cap H \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . This shows that  $x \in \beta\text{Int}(V)$  and so  $\text{Int}_H(V) \subseteq \beta\text{Int}(V)$ .

(b) and (iv). Its clear from Lemma 2.7 of [1].

(v). (b). Let  $V \in \beta O(X, \tau)|_H$ . For some set  $W \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ ,  $V = W \cap H$  and so we have  $W \cap H \in \beta O(H, \tau|_H)$  (from ii-2). Hence  $V \in \beta O(H, \tau|_H)$  holds.

(b). Let  $V \in \beta O(H, \tau|_H)$ . Since  $H \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ , we have  $V \in \beta O(X, \tau)$  by (iii-2). Thus  $V = V \cap H \in \beta O(X, \tau)|_H$ .

(c). It follows from (v-1) and (v-2).

**Lemma 2.4.** (i). If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute and a subset  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $f|_H: (H, \tau|_H) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

Let (1) and (2) be properties of two maps  $k: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (K, \sigma|_K)$ , where  $K \subseteq Y$ , and  $j_K \circ k: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  as follows:

1)  $k: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (K, \sigma|_K)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

2)  $j_K \circ k: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

Then, the following implication and equivalence hold:

(a). Under the assumption that  $K$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

(b). Conversely, under the assumption that  $K$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). (ii-3). Under the assumption that  $K$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).

(ii). If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute and a subset  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $f|_H$  is  $\beta$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $r_H f|_H(f)$ :



$(H, \tau|_H) \rightarrow (f(H), \sigma|_{f(H)})$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

**Proof.**(i). Let  $V \in \beta O(Y, \sigma)$ . Then, we have  $(f|_H)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V) \cap H$  and  $(f|_H)^{-1}(V) \in \beta O(H, \tau|_H)$ . (Theorem 2.3 (ii-2)).

(ii).(a)  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Let  $V \in \beta O(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $(jKok)^{-1}(V) = k^{-1}(V \cap K)$  and  $V \cap K \in \beta O(K, \sigma|_K)$  (Theorem 2.3 (ii-2)), we have that  $(jKok)^{-1}(V) \in \beta O(X, \tau)$  and hence  $jKok$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

(b)  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $U \in \beta O(K, \sigma|_K)$ . Since  $U \in \beta O(Y, \sigma)$  (Theorem 2.3 (iii-2)), we have  $k^{-1}(U) = (jKok)^{-1}(U) \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . Thus  $k$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

(c). Obvious in the view of fact that every  $\alpha$ -open set is  $\beta$ -open, it is obtained by (ii-1) and (ii-2).

(iii). By (i),  $f|_H: (H, \tau|_H) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. The map  $r|_H, f(H)(f)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute, because  $f|_H = jf(H) \circ r|_H, f(H)(f)$  holds.

**Definition 2.5.** For an  $\alpha$ -open subset  $H$  of  $(X, \tau)$ , the following maps  $(rH)^*: \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  and  $(rH)^*_0: \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  are well defined as follows (Lemma 2.4 (iii)), respectively:

$(rH)^*(f) = r|_H, H(f)$  for every  $f \in \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ ;

$(rH)^*_0(g) = r|_H, H(g)$  for every  $g \in \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . Indeed, in Lemma 2.4 (iii), we assume that  $X=Y, \tau=\sigma$  and  $H=f(H)$ .

Then, under the assumption that  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open hence  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , it is obtained that  $r|_H, H(f) \in \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  holds for any  $f \in \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  (resp.  $f \in \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ ).

We need the following lemma and then we prove that  $(rH)^*$  and  $(rH)^*_0$  are onto homomorphisms under the assumptions that  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Let  $X=U_1 \cup U_2$  for some subsets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  and  $f_1: (U_1, \tau|_{U_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and

$f_2: (U_2, \tau|_{U_2}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be the two maps satisfying a property  $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$  for every  $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ . Then, a map  $f_1 \nabla f_2$  is well defined as follows:

$(f_1 \nabla f_2)(x) = f_1(x)$  for every  $x \in U_1$  and

$(f_1 \nabla f_2)(x) = f_2(x)$  for every  $x \in U_2$ . We call this map a combination of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , we assume that  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ , where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are subsets of  $X$  and  $f_1: (U_1, \tau|_{U_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and  $f_2: (U_2, \tau|_{U_2}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be the two maps satisfying a property  $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$  for every  $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ . Then if  $U_i \in \beta O(X, \tau)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are  $\beta$ -irresolute, then its combination  $f_1 \nabla f_2: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

**Proof.** Its on similar lines in ([1], Theorem 2.8).

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $H$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .

(i).(a). If  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then the maps  $(rH)^*: \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  and  $(rH)^*_0: \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  are

homomorphism of groups. Moreover  $(rH)^* | \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = (rH)^*_0$  holds (Definition 2.5).

(b). If  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , then the maps  $(rH)^*: \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  and  $(rH)^*_0: \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  are onto homomorphism of groups.

(ii). For an  $\alpha$ -open subset  $H$  of  $(X, \tau)$ , we have the following isomorphisms of groups: (ii-1).  $\beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau) / \text{Ker}(rH)^*$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(rH)^*$ ;

(b).  $\beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(rH)^*_0$ , 0 holds. where  $\text{Ker}(rH)^* = \{a \in \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau) | (rH)^*(a) = 1_X\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ ;  $\text{Im}(rH)^* = \{(rH)^*(a) | a \in \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau)\}$  and  $\text{Im}(rH)^*_0 = \{(rH)^*_0(b) | b \in \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)\}$  are subgroups of  $\beta ch(X, \tau)$ .

(iii). For an  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed subset  $H$  of  $(X, \tau)$ , we have the following isomorphisms of groups:

(c).  $\beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  is isomorphic to  $\beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau) / \text{Ker}(rH)^*$ . (iii-2).  $\beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  is isomorphic to  $\beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ .

**Proof.** (i).

(a). Let  $a, b \in \beta ch(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . Since  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , the maps  $(rH)^*$  and  $(rH)^*_0$  are well defined (Definition 2.5). Then we have that  $(rH)^*(\omega_X(a, b)) = (rH)^*(boa) = r|_H, H(boa) = r|_H, H(b) \circ r|_H, H(a) = \omega_X((rH)^*(a), (rH)^*(b))$  hold, where  $\omega_H$  is a binary operation of  $\beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$  ([5] Theorem 4.4 (iv)). Thus  $(rH)^*$  is a homomorphism of groups. For the map  $(rH)^*_0: \beta ch_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta ch(H; \tau|_H)$ , we



have that  $(r_H)^*, 0 (\omega_X, 0(a,b)) = (r_H)^*, 0 (boa) = r_{H,H}(boa) = r_{H,H}(b) \circ r_{H,H}(a) = \omega_X((r_H)^*(a), (r_H)^*(b))$  hold, where  $\omega_X$  is a binary operation of  $\beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  (Theorem 2.3 (ii)). Thus  $(r_H)^*, 0$  is also a homomorphism of groups. It is obviously shown that  $(r_H)^* | \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = (r_H)^*, 0$  holds. (Definitions 2.1 and 2.5).

(b). In order to prove that  $(r_H)^*$  and  $(r_H)^*, 0$  are onto, let  $h \in \beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$ . Let  $j_H: (H; \tau|_H) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  and  $J_X \setminus H: (X \setminus H, \tau|_{X \setminus H}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  be the inclusions defined  $j_H(x) = x$  for every  $x \in H$  and  $J_X \setminus H(x) = x$  for every  $x \in X \setminus H$ . We consider the combination  $h_1 = (j_H \circ h) \nabla (j_X \setminus H \circ 1_{X \setminus H}): (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ . By Lemma 2.4 (ii-1), under the assumption of  $\alpha$ -openness on  $H$ , it is shown that two maps  $j_H \circ h: (H; \tau|_H) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  and  $j_H \circ h^{-1}: (H; \tau|_H) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  are  $\beta$ -irresolute; moreover under the assumption of  $\alpha$ -openness on  $X \setminus H$ ,  $J_X \setminus H \circ 1_{X \setminus H}: (X \setminus H, \tau|_{X \setminus H}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. Using lemma 2.6, for a  $\beta$ -open cover  $\{H, X \setminus H\}$  of  $X$ , the combination above  $h_1: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. Since  $h_1$  is bijective, its inverse map  $h_1^{-1} = (j_H \circ h^{-1}) \nabla (j_X \setminus H \circ 1_{X \setminus H})$  is also  $\beta$ -irresolute. Thus under the assumption that both  $H$  and  $X \setminus H$  are  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , we have  $h_1 \in \beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$ . Since  $h_1(x) = x$  for every point  $x \in X \setminus H$ , we conclude that  $h_1 \in \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  and so  $h_1 \in \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . Moreover,  $(r_H)^*, 0 (h_1) = (r_H)^*(h_1) = r_{H,H}(h_1) = h$ , hence  $(r_H)^*$  and  $(r_H)^*, 0$  are onto, under the assumption that  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ .

(ii). By (a) above and the first isomorphism theorem of group theory, it is shown that there are group isomorphism below, under the assumption that  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ : (\*).  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) / \text{Ker}(r_H)^*$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(r_H)^*$ ; and (\*\*).  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) / \text{Ker}(r_H)^*, 0$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(r_H)^*, 0$  where  $\text{Ker}(r_H)^*, 0 = \{a \in \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) | (r_H)^*, 0(a) = 1_X\}$ . Moreover, under the assumption of  $\alpha$ -openness on  $H$ , it is shown that  $\text{Ker}(r_H)^*, 0 = \{1_H\}$ . Therefore, using (\*\*) above, we have the isomorphism (ii-2).

(iii). By (b) above, it is shown that  $(r_H)^*$  and

$(r_H)^*, 0$  are onto homomorphism of groups, under the assumption that  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore, by (ii) above, the isomorphisms (iii-1) and (c) are obtained.

*Remark 2.8.* Under the assumption that  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , Theorem 2.7 (iii) is proved. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space where  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ , and  $(H; \tau|_H)$  is a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $H = \{a\}$ . Then  $\beta\text{O}(X, \tau) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  (the power set of  $X$ ) and  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . We apply Theorem 2.7 (iii) to the present case, we have the group isomorphisms. Directly, we obtain the following data on groups:  $\beta\text{ch}(X, \tau)$  is isomorphic to  $S_3$ , the symmetric group of degree 3,  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{1_X, h_a\}$ ,  $\text{Ker}(r_H)^* = \{1_X, h_a\}$ ,  $\beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H) = \{1_H\}$  and so  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{1_X\}$ , where  $h_a: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is a map defined by  $h_a(a) = a$ ,  $h_a(b) = c$  and  $h_a(c) = b$ . Therefore in this example, we have  $\beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  is isomorphic to  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  |  $\text{Ker}(r_H)^*$  and  $\beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  is isomorphic to  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$ . Moreover we have  $h(X, \tau) = \{1_X, h_a\}$ .

(iii). Even if a subset  $H$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $\alpha$ -closed and it is  $\alpha$ -open, we have the possibilities to investigate isomorphisms of groups corresponding to a subspace  $(H, \tau|_H)$  and  $(r_H)^*$  using Theorem 5.7(ii). For example, Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space where  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ , and  $(H; \tau|_H)$  is a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $H = \{a, b\}$ . Then  $\beta\text{O}(X, \tau) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  (the power set of  $X$ ) and  $H$  is  $\alpha$ -open but not  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . By theorem 2.7(i)(i-1), the maps  $(r_H)^*: \beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  and  $(r_H)^*, 0: \beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \rightarrow \beta\text{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  are homomorphism of groups and by theorem 5.7(ii) two isomorphisms of groups are obtained:

(\*-1).  $\beta\text{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) / \text{Ker}(r_H)^*$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(r_H)^*$ . (\*-2).  $\beta\text{ch}_0(X, X \setminus H; \tau) / \text{Ker}(r_H)^*, 0$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(r_H)^*, 0$ .

We need notation on maps as follows: let  $h_c: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  and  $t_{a,b}: (H, \tau|_H) \rightarrow (H, \tau|_H)$  are



the maps defined by  $h_c(a)=b$ ,  $h_c(a)=b$ ,  $h_c(c)=c$  and  $t_{a,b}(a)=b$ ,  $t_{a,b}(b)=a$ , respectively. Then it is directly shown that  $\beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{1_X, h_c\}$  which is isomorphic to  $Z_2$ ,  $(h_c)^2 = 1_X$ , and  $\text{Ker}(\tau) = \{a \in \beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \mid (\tau)(a) = 1_H\} = \{a \in \{1_X, h_c\} \mid (\tau)(a) = 1_H\} = \{1_X\}$  because  $(\tau)(1_X) = 1_H$  and  $(\tau)(h_c) = t_{a,b} \neq 1_H$ . By using (\*) above,  $\text{Im}(\tau)$  is isomorphic to  $\beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{1_X, h_c\}$  and so  $\text{Im}(\tau) = \{1_H, \tau(h_c)\} = \{1_H, t_{a,b}\}$ . Since  $\text{Im}(\tau) \subseteq \beta_{ch}(H; \tau|_H) \subseteq \{1_H, t_{a,b}\}$ , we have that  $\text{Im}(\tau) = \beta_{ch}(H; \tau|_H) = \{1_H, t_{a,b}\}$  and hence  $(\tau)$  is onto. Namely, we have an isomorphism  $(\tau): \beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  is isomorphic to  $\beta_{ch}(H; \tau|_H)$  which is isomorphic to  $Z_2$ . Moreover it is shown that  $\beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) = \{a \in \beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau) \mid a(x) = x \text{ for any } x \in \{c\}\} = \{1_X, h_c\} = \beta_{ch}(X, X \setminus H; \tau)$  hold and so  $(\tau) = (\tau)$  holds.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.E.Abd El-Monsef, S.N.El-Deeb and R.A.Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -opensets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull.Fac. Sci.AssintUniv.,12 (1983) , 77-90.
- [2] M.E.Abd El-Monsef, R.A.Mahmoud and E.R.Lashin,  $\beta$ -closure and  $\beta$ -interior, J.Fac.Edu.Ain shams Univ.,10 (1986) , 235-245.
- [3] D.Andrijevic, Semi-preopen sets, Mat.Vesnik., 38 (1) (1986),24-32.
- [4] S.C.Arora,Sanjay Tahiliani and H.Maki, On  $\pi$  generalized  $\beta$ -closed sets in topological spaces II, Scientiae Mathematica Japonice, 71 (1)(2010),43-54.
- [5] R.A.Mahmoud and Abd-El-Monsef,  $\beta$ -irresolute and  $\beta$ -topological invariant, Proc. Pakistan. Acad. Sci., 27 (1990),285-296.
- [6] O.Njastad, On some class of nearly open sets, Pacific. Jour. Math., 15 (1965), 961-970.